A Compact 16-Module Camera Using 64-Pixel CsI(Tl)/Si PIN Photodiode Imaging Modules
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Abstract—We present a compact, configurable scintillation camera employing a maximum of 16 individual 64-pixel imaging modules resulting in a 1024-pixel camera covering an area of 9.6 cm x 9.6 cm. The 64-pixel imaging module consists of optically isolated 3 mm x 3 mm x 5 mm CsI(Tl) crystals coupled to a custom array of Si PIN photodiodes read out by a custom integrated circuit (IC). Each imaging module plugs into a readout motherboard that controls the modules and interfaces with a data acquisition card inside a computer. For a given event, the motherboard employs a custom winner-take-all (WTA) IC to identify the module with the largest analog output and to enable the output address bits of the corresponding module’s readout IC. These address bits identify the “winner” pixel within the “winner” module. The peak of the largest analog signal is found and held using a peak detect circuit, after which it is acquired by an ADC on the data acquisition card. The camera is currently operated with 4 imaging modules in order to characterize its performance. At room temperature, the camera demonstrates an average energy resolution of 13.4% FWHM for the 140 keV emissions of $^{99m}$Tc. The system spatial resolution is measured using a capillary tube with an inner diameter of 0.7 mm and located 10 cm from the face of the collimator. Images of the line source in air exhibit average system spatial resolutions of 8.7 mm FHWM and 11.2 mm FWHM when using an all-purpose acrylic scattering block is placed between the line source and the camera.

Index Terms—compact gamma camera, photodiode array, pixellated scintillator, low-noise integrated circuit readout, scintimammography.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since its invention in 1958, the Anger camera has proven to be an important instrument in nuclear medicine imaging. However, Anger cameras are not optimized for the task of breast or axillary node imaging because of their bulky size and significant dead space around the periphery of the camera. The large camera size makes access to the breasts and axillae difficult, resulting in a long imaging distance which decreases spatial resolution and detection sensitivity. These limitations can be lessened through compact camera design. Other small organ imaging applications, small animal imaging applications, and applications involving surgical probes would all also benefit from compact gamma cameras.

There are three major design approaches to the development of compact gamma cameras: (1) discrete scintillator/photodiode cameras wherein the gamma rays interact in an array of optically isolated scintillation crystals coupled 1-to-1 to an array of solid-state photodetectors [1]-[5]; (2) solid-state cameras where the gamma rays interact directly with a pixellated solid-state detector such as CdZnTe [6]-[8]; and (3) position-sensitive photomultiplier tube (PSPMT) cameras where the gamma rays interact in one or more scintillation crystals which are subsequently read out by a single PSPMT [9]-[14]. Each approach has its own unique advantages and challenges. However, one of the common technological challenges shared by these approaches is to achieve a system that matches and/or improves Anger camera performance, particularly with regard to energy resolution, reliability, and cost. In this paper, we present a 16-module compact camera using 64-pixel imaging modules consisting of discrete CsI(Tl) crystals coupled to custom low-noise Si PIN (p-layer, intrinsic layer, n-layer) photodiodes which are read out by custom IC. This approach represents a complete modular design that can be used to realize a variety of compact gamma camera configurations.

II. 64-Pixel Imaging Module

The 64-pixel imaging module is a culmination of previous work from a 12-pixel prototype module [2] to the current 64-pixel module [15]. Fig. 1 shows a photograph of the complete module. The design requires making the module as compact as possible as well as modular enough to tile individual modules together to form a larger imaging area with minimal dead area between modules.

The two critical components that make this possible are the custom low-noise Si PIN photodiodes [16] and the custom
readout IC [15]. The former replaces the bulky and expensive PMTs used in Anger cameras, while the latter provides processing for the large pixel density (~ 1 pixel / 10 mm²).

Fig. 1. A complete 64-pixel CsI(Tl) scintillator/Si PIN photodiode imaging module. Two separate custom printed circuit boards are employed to provide all necessary connections to the both the photodiode array and the readout IC. The module has a transverse size of 24 mm x 24 mm and a height of 14 mm.

A. Custom Low-Noise Photodiode Arrays

The low-noise photodiode arrays employed in the imaging modules are the first reliable devices with sufficiently low room temperature leakage current to provide adequate SNR for the application under consideration [16].

The photodiode arrays are made on 300 µm thick, high-resistivity, n-type silicon. The patterned side of the photodiode array consists of 64 3 mm x 3 mm pixels. A series of guard rings run around the perimeter of the patterned side to sink surface leakage current. Since the array is designed to maintain a 3 mm pitch between pixels when butted up against other arrays, the edge and corner pixels have smaller area (30% and 50% smaller, respectively) than the inner pixels. The backside of the array is unpatterned and a 67.9 nm thick anti-reflective layer of indium-tin-oxide (ITO) is deposited on it to optimize transmission of the 540 nm scintillation photons of CsI(Tl).

The pixels demonstrate a capacitance of about 3 pF and an average leakage current of 28 ± 7 pA at room temperature and 50 V bias. The guard rings exhibit an average current of 1.7 ± 0.4 nA.

B. Custom Readout IC

The readout IC is described in detail in [17]. It is a mixed analog-digital design fabricated in CMOS (HP 0.5 µm 3.3 V technology) and covering an area of 4.5 mm x 4.8 mm. Its front-end is an array of 64 analog input channels consisting of charge-sensitive preamplifiers and shaper amplifiers. It is then followed by a “Winner Take All” (WTA) circuitry which reduces the 64 amplified, shaped signals to a single analog signal with the largest amplitude plus 6 digital bits that identify the winning channel. The WTA circuitry is based on a 16-channel WTA IC described in [18].

III. 16-MODULE CAMERA DESIGN

The basic design and the system level diagram for the 16-module compact camera are presented in Fig. 2. A maximum of 16 individual 64-pixel imaging modules can be plugged into a readout motherboard that controls the modules and interfaces with a computer. The result is a 1024-pixel camera covering an area of 9.6 cm x 9.6 cm. The motherboard is designed such that its electronics extend beyond the imaging area in only one direction, thus preserving the camera’s ability to gain close access to the breasts and axillary nodes.

A. Readout Motherboard

The readout motherboard is separated into two sections as shown in Fig. 3: (1) the imaging section; and (2) the
electronic section. The imaging section lies on one side of the motherboard where the individual imaging modules connect to mating connectors. The electronic section lies on the other side, preserving the camera’s ability to gain close access to the breasts and axillary nodes.

This feature is important in two respects. First, this means that the 8 digital output lines from each readout IC can be tied together, and the lines will be driven only by the readout IC producing the winning signal. Second, the moment the output enable signals are active, digital noise is coupled into the preamplifier of the readout IC, resulting in decreased SNR. Therefore, the digital output lines are enabled only after the winner analog signal has been latched into the ADC on the data acquisition card. Soon thereafter, the output enable signal of the winner readout IC is made active and the digital output lines are latched into the data acquisition card. Ultimately, an event is characterized by the analog winner signal’s peak voltage and the 10-bit digital address of the winning pixel.

A simple threshold discriminator is used to eliminate low-voltage noise signals. Once the 16-channel WTA analog output signal crosses the threshold, the motherboard begins a readout chain to collect the data for the event. Fig. 4 summarizes the timing of the different signals in the readout hierarchy. The processing time for an event is controlled by a one-shot with adjustment through an E²Pot. The analog output signal of the readout IC is adjusted to have a peaking time of about 6.5 \( \mu \)s (appropriate for CsI(Tl) scintillation). The processing time is set at 40 \( \mu \)s, the time it takes the analog output signal to return to baseline. During this time, additional analog output signals are ignored. A peak detect IC (PH300, Amptek, Inc., Bedford, MA) is employed to hold the peak value of the signal until it is latched into the ADC. After the peak value of the signal has been digitized, the output enable of the readout IC producing the winner analog output is made active, thus driving the digital output lines which are subsequently read into the data acquisition card. The motherboard will return to its default state after each
processing time because the timing circuitry is implemented to avoid any “lock-up” whereby after it fails to finish processing the signal in question, it is further unable to process any additional signals. The processing time determines the camera dead time. However, the maximum event rate remains adequately high because the dead time is non-paralyzable. For example, a true event rate of 10 kHz would give an observed event rate of about 7.1 kHz (a loss of about 29 %). A shorter processing time can be used to increase the maximum rate. In theory, processing times as short as 10 µs can be used, that is the time it takes to latch both the analog output signal and the digital output lines. In practice, rates are low enough that we observe no dead time effects.

The readout IC and the 16-channel WTA IC share a serial data bus line using ECL logic. The E²Pots use a separate serial data bus line because they employ TTL logic. Further, the readout ICs, the 16-channel WTA IC, and the E²Pots use separate serial clock lines to prevent commands on the serial data line that are intended for one type of chip from being latched into the chip of the other type with the same 4-bit chip address. Communication to and from a computer is latched into the chip of the other type with the same 4-bit chip data line that are intended for one type of chip from being prevented from being read by the other type of chip. Further, the readout ICs use separate serial data bus lines to prevent commands on the serial data bus line because they employ TTL logic. The E²Pots use a separate serial data bus line using ECL logic. The E²Pots use a separate serial data bus line using ECL logic. The E²Pots use a separate serial data bus line using ECL logic.

B. Collimator Design

The classic tradeoff between collimator spatial resolution and sensitivity is an important consideration in the design of the collimator. Traditional scintillation cameras use hexagonal hole collimators. The advantages of hexagonal hole collimators are that they are more readily available from industry and have a more symmetric septal penetration pattern. However, square holes can be matched 1-to-1 (or even 4-to-1) to square detector pixels and thus may provide a superior system point spread response with minimal dependence on source position by eliminating the aliasing due to geometric mismatch between hexagonal collimator holes and square detector pixels.

Previous simulation suggests that this aliasing problem is small for hexagonal hole collimators if the hole size is less than about half the pixel size [2]. In addition, simulation suggests that observed tumor FWHM shows little difference between hexagonal hole and square hole collimators, while tumor SNR is highly dependent on collimator sensitivity (but again, not hole shape) [19]. Collimators with high sensitivities of 8000 to 16,000 counts/mCi/sec yield significantly better tumor SNR values than do high resolution collimators with 4000 counts/mCi/sec. Further, those higher collimator sensitivities only slightly increase observed tumor FWHM at short imaging distance (less than 7 cm).

Based on the simulation results [2], [19], we have designed and acquired three collimators (Nuclear Fields, Inc., Des Plaines, IL) for use with the 16-module camera. All three collimators are microcast from lead, cover an area 10 cm x 10 cm, and employ parallel hexagonal holes with a diameter of 1.5 mm and a septal thickness of 0.25 mm. The only difference between the collimators is the channel length, which determines the sensitivity and spatial resolution.

Table I provides the design specifications for the three collimators. The collimators have been designed with high sensitivities (ranging from 8000 to 26,000 counts/mCi/sec) in order to improve the SNR of any high-uptake objects in the resulting planar images. The spatial resolutions of 6.1 mm to 9.8 mm FWHM at 6 cm imaging distance still provide for the possibility of detecting tumors less than 1 cm in diameter, especially if the SNR in the planar image is reasonably high.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I</th>
<th>THREE COLLIMATOR DESIGNS FOR USE WITH THE 16-MODULE CAMERA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Channel length (mm)</td>
<td>All-purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (counts/mCi/sec)</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial resolution @ 6 cm (mm)</td>
<td>8020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septal penetration (%)</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. CAMERA PERFORMANCE

The compact 16-module camera has initially been operated with 4 imaging modules. We have assembled over 16 modules for use with the camera (most of them without the CsI(Tl) arrays glued on to the backside of the photodiode arrays). Unfortunately, the electronic noise from most of the modules is not acceptable due to mishandling of the photodiode arrays by the vendor that attached them to the printed circuit board. We are working closely with the vendor to refine their assembly procedures so that the quality of future modules is good.

A. Intrinsic Energy Resolution

Pulse height spectra are collected for each pixel by exciting the modules with 140 keV emissions from 99mTc. Fig. 5 shows typical pulse height spectra for corner, edge and inner channels from a single module. The smaller corner and edge pixels have significant impact on the energy resolution (the light collection efficiency for these pixels is less, resulting in lower SNR). The average energy resolution for the camera is 13.4% FWHM. Not all modules have similar energy resolution because the overall electronic noise varies from one module to the other. Fig. 6 shows the distribution of the energy resolution for a module with high SNR, while Fig. 7 shows distribution for a module with low SNR.

Module noise performance is characterized by measuring noise as a function of the shaper peaking time. Equivalent noise charge (ENC) is calculated by calibrating the signal with an 55Fe source before the CsI(Tl) arrays are glued on. Fig. 8 shows a module with good electronic noise performance, while Fig. 9 shows a module with significantly higher electronic noise. Both of these modules are used with the camera. When unloaded, the electronic noise approaches
the 1/f floor limit as the high peaking time increases. The noise obtains a parallel noise contribution with the addition of a photodiode load, causing the noise to increase with peaking time. The corner and edge pixels exhibit lower noise because of lower capacitance and leakage current. For certain modules, the electronic noise is higher due to mishandling of the photodiode array, resulting in an increase in leakage current and 1/f noise.

Another major noise contribution is the CsI(Tl) light collection inhomogeneity. We have obtained CsI(Tl) arrays from three different manufacturers (Hilger, Photopeak, and Bicron). Each pixel is separated by 0.2 mm thick reflector material. The performance of the arrays is measured by cutting individual crystals from each manufacturer, coupling them to a PMT, exciting them with 511 keV gammas, and measuring their output with an Ortec gated integrator amplifier. The results are shown in Fig. 10.

The results indicate that the Bicron arrays have the highest light output and best energy resolution. The second batch from Hilger obtained about two years ago shows significant degradation in their performance compared to an earlier batch obtained over 4 years ago. We have been informed by representatives from Hilger that their process has changed since the production of the first batch, and they are looking into methods of regaining the performance in the earlier batch. The 4 imaging modules on the camera have the Photopeak arrays on it.

In an attempt to understand the cause of the performance difference among the different crystals, we removed the reflector material from each of the manufacturer arrays, rewrapped them in plumber’s Teflon tape, and repeated the measurement. The results show that the crystals from all three manufacturers have similar performance. This suggests that the performance difference comes from the characteristics of
the reflector material, and/or the coupling of the reflector material to the surface of the crystals.

**B. Intrinsic Spatial Resolution**

The intrinsic spatial resolution of the camera has been measured by scanning a collimated $^{57}$Co beam across the face of an imaging module [15]. The result is consistent with the crystal size of 3 mm.

**C. System Spatial Resolution**

The system resolution is measured with a line source made from glass capillary tube filled with $^{99m}$Tc as specified by the National Electrical and Manufacturers Association (NEMA) standards of measurement [20]. The capillary tube has a length of 7.5 cm, an inner diameter of 0.7 mm, and is placed 10 cm from the face of the collimator. Fig. 9 shows the images of the line source taken in the X and Y direction, with the all-purpose and then the high-sensitivity collimator, with an acrylic scattering block placed between the source and the face of collimator.

The FWHM of the spatial resolution is calculated by fitting slices of the line source images with a Gaussian function plus a linear background. The FWHM in pixels is then multiplied by the pixel size (3 mm) to yield the FWHM in mm. Table II summarizes the results of the measurement. The spatial resolution exhibits little dependence on whether or not the scattering blocks is used. On the other hand, the spatial resolution increases significantly with the high-sensitivity collimator because the collimator spatial resolution dominates at long imaging distance (greater than 7 cm).

**V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK**

A compact 16-module camera using 64-pixel imaging module has been implemented. The key and innovative advances in the development of the 64-pixel module are: (1) the low leakage current Si PIN photodiode arrays, and (2) the readout IC that allows efficient readout of many channels. In addition, at the heart of the 16-module camera is the 16-channel WTA IC, which multiplexes the largest analog output signals from the 16 modules on the camera to a single winner analog output. An obvious drawback of this multiplexing scheme is a decrease in the maximum event rate in the camera. However, the maximum event rate remains adequately high because the collimator significantly reduces the gamma flux and the dead time can be made as short as 10 µs per event (the time it takes to successfully latch both the analog output signal and the digital output lines).

The camera operated with 4 imaging module demonstrates an average energy resolution of 13.4% FWHM for 140 keV gammas. Corner and edge pixels have a slightly higher energy resolution because their smaller pixel size results in lower light collection efficiency. Monte Carlo simulation [19] suggests that improving energy resolution much below 15% does not significantly improve breast tumor imaging as the main background contribution in planar image is not scattered.
but un-scattered emissions from non-specific uptake in normal breast tissue.

The system spatial resolution of the camera demonstrates the possibility of detecting tumors less than 1 cm in diameter with the all-purpose or high-sensitivity collimator, especially at short imaging distance.

The future direction for this work includes constructing a multi-module camera using a smaller pixel size (2.4 mm x 2.4 mm) photodiode array. In addition, a new WTA IC with 32 input channels and peak detect circuitry has been designed and fabricated to be used in future compact cameras.
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